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Abstract
The purpose of the study is to explore the preferred language learning styles of the undergraduate students based on their gender. In Pakistan, the traditional lecture method is commonly used at the undergraduate level which is teacher centred (Hussain, Azeem & Shakoor, 2011), not accounting for the learner and learning style differences. The difference in learning styles varies from one individual to another; thus, influencing the overall language learning process and performance (Manochehr, 2006). The present study used a mixed method approach, with Kolb’s learning style model (1986) as the basis of the theoretical framework to determine individual learning styles. The sample was selected through purposive sampling technique and comprised of 60 undergraduate students and 10 teachers who were teaching English to these students. Data was collected from the students studying English at the undergraduate level and their teachers by using survey questionnaire and semi structured interviews respectively and was analysed in the form of descriptive statistics. The findings of the study confirmed that gender differences had a direct influence on the learning style preferences of the students. Moreover, both male and female students showed a tendency for Active Experimentation (AE) style of learning, indicating that they want to be actively engaged in their own learning process, instead of merely listening to the lectures being delivered in the traditional method. In addition, although the findings indicated that the teachers were aware of the differences in the learning styles based on gender, but their teaching practices did not account for the preferred learning styles of the students. These results can be further utilized to improve the teaching and learning styles for the students at the undergraduate students.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Learning styles characterize the psychological, cognitive and affective behaviors of a learner while indicating how an individual best perceives and learns from his environment and external sources around him (Felder & Brent, 2005). Research has established that there are indeed differences in learning styles of students; some prefer to learn through visualizing and conceptualizing data that is presented to them, whereas
others learn best by actively engaging in physical activities and undergoing new experiences (Kolb & Kolb, 2018).

Furthermore, the interest in the field of gender studies and its relation to language learning has increased in the contemporary era. To establish a concrete relationship between the learning process and genetics of the brain of male and female individuals has been a chief intent of such studies. A number of studies show that there are many neurological and anatomical differences in the cognitive structure of both males and females (Kaiser, Haller, Schmitz & Nitsch, 2009) which in turn affects their cognitive abilities and language perceptions.

Earlier, the research showed complete and unwavering reliance on the theories of Universal Grammar and Innateness proposed by Noam Chomsky, which propagated the idea that all human beings are born with a language acquisition device that contains a mechanism for language perception and processing (Yang, 2004). So, it was assumed that all individuals, regardless of their gender, possess similar abilities for perception and linguistic usage. However, recent studies have found marked differences between the cognitive and linguistic abilities of both male and female language users (Pavlenko, Blackledge & Teutsch-Dwyer, 2011). This finding delineates the importance of revising the earlier reliance on Universal Grammar and Innateness theories by transforming the understanding of these ideas in the light of contemporary research.

Many theories establish the connections between the difference in the learning styles in relation to cognition and gender which are helpful in understanding the overall learning process and uniqueness in learning styles of the learners. The Experiential Learning Cycle links cognition to individual learning styles and is used to determine the preferred learning styles of adult learners (Kolb, 1976). It is based on the idea that learning is basically an experiential process which differs for each individual, owing to which they should be taught according to their preferred learning experiences.

While research established that there are indeed differences in learning styles and the approaches of students towards the overall learning process of language are unique, the focus of linguistic studies based on gender shifted towards the teaching methodologies and strategies. Since the syllabus and overall contents being taught to the students are the same, the differences arise, then, among the recipients that are, the male and female learners, and the way they are taught that content. Since a generic course design is followed for language syllabi in Pakistan (Aftab, 2004), therefore it falls upon the teachers to tailor that general course according to the individual needs and learning styles of their students.

Recent studies conducted in the domain of higher education in Pakistan have revealed that the student body is dissatisfied with the overall academic system in Pakistan and one of the major reasons contributing to this result is the lack of competent faculty members in the universities (Abbasi et al, 2011). This dissatisfaction is in terms of not only the competency of the teachers but also of their commonly used teaching methods that fail to account for gender differences when it comes to teaching a class comprising of both male and female students.

The current research aims to explore the difference in learning styles of undergraduate English language students based on their gender while assessing the teachers’ perception and awareness of these unique learning approaches of their students. This understanding would be helpful in identification and further modification of the currently prevailing English language teaching strategies in order to offer the best learning experience to the language learners at the undergraduate level.

**Contextualising the Problem**

Individual differences in second language learning place gender as an important area of inquiry. Research has established that men and women exhibit many dissimilarities in
terms of performance and learning styles in acquiring a second language (Kolb, 1984). However, such dissimilarities are not considered in the design of the language courses offered to students enrolled in Pakistani universities. Most of these courses are generic (Aftab, 2004) that do not take into account these varied learning styles. Moreover, the students have insufficient language support in English in higher education regarding the background of students, the facilities for English, the language needs and motivation of students for higher education, the availability and quality of English language courses, and the students’ language outcomes (Mansoor, 2004). The analysis of factors likely to account for these differences is central to defining methodologies and strategies for effective teaching of English to the undergraduate students.

The purpose of this study is to explore the perceptions of the language teachers regarding the learning styles of their undergraduate students in relation to gender. The awareness of the relationship between gender differences and the learning preferences of students is vital to developing a sound understanding of the overall learning process and its implications in the domain of pedagogy.

Research Questions

The study investigating the learning styles of the students based on gender and the teachers’ perceptions related to it answered the following research questions:

1. What are the learning styles of students in the English language classroom at the undergraduate level?
2. What are the learning styles of students based on gender in the English language classroom at the undergraduate level?
3. What are the teachers’ perceptions of the learning styles of students in the English language classroom at the undergraduate level?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Individual differences in second language learning place gender as an important area of inquiry in second language acquisition. To probe into the matter of language learning, it is first essential to look into what ‘learning’ actually means. According to one particular perspective, the individuals involved in the process of learning define it themselves on the basis of experiences they go through. Since everyone’s experience of learning varies from the others, therefore, learning categories also fall into different ranges; it can be experiential or behavioral (Schmeck, 1988). Along similar lines, Kolb (1984) defined learning as a continuous process of experiential learning through which an individual creates their knowledge while undergoing certain experiences.

When it comes to language acquisition and learning, different individuals have different cognition and perception (Felder & Brent, 2005) and therefore, possess unique learning styles and such differences are more pronounced when it is analyzed on the basis of gender. Repeated studies have proved that there are numerous neurological and anatomical differences in brain structure of both males and females (Kaiser, Haller, Schmitz & Nitsch, 2009) which in turn affects their cognitive abilities and perceptions while learning and encountering a language. This suggests that males and females exhibit stark differences when it comes to cognition and perception due to the different anatomy of their brains.

To cater to the subject of diverse learning styles of individuals, Reid (1987) classified learners into four categories namely visual, auditory, kinesthetic and tactile, which are based on the perceptions of learners. While assessing individual learning styles, students are typically asked to evaluate what sort of information presentation they prefer (e.g., words versus pictures versus speech) and what kind of mental activity they find to be most engaging (e.g., analysis versus listening) (Pashler et al, 2008).
Certain theories have been developed that establish connections between various learning styles and individual factors of learners; one prominent among these theories is Kolb’s Experiential Learning Theory (ELT) which links cognition to individual learning styles. ELT defines learning as the process whereby knowledge is created through the transformation of experience; knowledge results from the combination of grasping and transforming experience (Kolb, 1984). It consists of dual levels; the first level describes four stages of the learning cycle while the second level deals with individual learning styles (Kolb, 1976). According to this theory, learning is most effective when learner undergoes the experience based on four stages: concrete experience, reflective observation-based of the new experience, abstract conceptualization and active experimentation. Based on these four stages are defined four distinct learning styles namely diverging, assimilating, converging and accommodating, which as Kolb suggested, reflect and cater to the preferred way of learning by an individual. Whatever influences the choice of style, the learning style preference itself is actually the product of two pairs of variables, or two separate ‘choices’ that a learner makes (“Kolb's Learning Styles and Experiential Learning Cycle”, 2008).

From a pedagogical viewpoint, there are various factors that contribute to overall learning progress of the students in a classroom; teacher effectiveness being primary among them. There are certain parameters that define teacher effectiveness and comprise of teacher experience, teacher preparation programs and degrees, type of teacher certification, specific coursework taken in preparation for the profession, and teachers’ own test scores (Rice, 2003). Although teacher effectiveness is accounted as the most important factor for improvement of student performance, it is not made use of adequately. Teacher effectiveness is not evaluated or used to modify the already existing teaching practices (Weisberg et al, 2009), which suggests that there is an existence of a huge gap in mapping theory into practice. The results from research need to be implemented into pedagogy to ascertain the improvement of quality teaching for the student body.

In Pakistan, research conducted on undergraduate and postgraduate medical students revealed a stark difference in learning styles of both. While undergraduates preferred activists and theorist approaches of learning, the postgraduates were mainly reflectors in terms of their learning styles. These differences in learning might arise due to socio-cultural background of students but what is crucial is the fact that a multiplicity and profusion in terms of instructional design and assessment techniques are required to cater to these diverse styles of learning (Shukr, Zainab & Rana, 2013).

The present study aims to investigate the differences in the learning styles of both genders at the undergraduate level. Moreover, it explores teachers’ perceptions regarding these unique learning styles and investigates that how these language teachers employ various teaching strategies in order to cater to these differences in language perception and learning of their male and female students.

Theoretical Perspectives

The study adopted Kolb’s learning style model (1984) which was based on Experiential Learning Theory (ELT) developed by David A. Kolb. The learning style inventory (LSI) helps individuals understand their learning styles (Pedagogy, 2010), and was first published in 1971 and since then, many updated versions have been presented. Kolb’s ELT focuses on developing a learning model for adult development (Kolb, 2005), describing different learning styles and stages based on experiential learning for adult learners. While each individual possesses a unique, distinct and dominating learning style, however, it needs to be understood and emphasized that there is no wrong or right learning style; learning styles are not better or worse from each other, they are only different with respect to each other (“The Learning Style Inventory”, n.d.).
This framework is based on the assumption that there are four basic stages involved in the process of learning; the foremost stage is occupied by experience. Initially, the learner is exposed to a new experience in the first stage of learning, moving on to the second and third stages, he reflects and thinks about that recently acquired experience. Finally, the last stage is of action that closes and seals the learning process built around that particular experience. Therefore, these four stages comprise the basic preferred learning styles of students in Kolb’s LSI as:

- Concrete Experience (CE)
- Reflective Observation (RO)
- Abstract Conceptualization (AC)
- Active Experimentation (AE)

According to Kolb’s ELT, these four processes are occurring continuously and simultaneously in all the learners; what is unique in each learner is the preferred mode of acquiring and approaching new information and experiences among all these four processes. CE type learners learn best through trial and error situations and display a high tendency of interpersonal relationship with those around them. According to Kolb (1984), CE describes learning as full and open involvement in the learning process without any bias cloud the judgement of the learners regarding any new experiences. As the name suggests, RO type learners are keen observers and pay meticulous heed to all the details. On the other hand, AC type learners prefer to ‘conceptualize’ things and their focus is more theory oriented. Lastly, AE type learners exhibit a kinesthetic approach towards learning, requiring to be actively engaged in activities to enhance their learning process (Majd & Pishkar, 2017).

In terms of categorical learning styles, diverging learners are characterized as ‘feeling and watching’ type, as they display a combination of CE/RO type properties in their learning approaches. According to McLeod (2010), these learners prefer to watch and gather new information or experience presented to them, instead of practically doing anything with it.

The next learning style is assimilating which is combination of AC/RO; these learners require a concrete description and deliverance of concepts and ideas to them in order to fully familiarize themselves with any given task or information. Converging learners, on the other hand, combine AC/AE properties and learn best by thinking and doing; they find practical uses for theories and ideas they are exposed to. Finally, accommodating learners are both ‘doing and feeling’ as they display a combination of properties of CE/AE. This type of learners are the ones that need hands-on experience and display a preference for practical approach towards learning (McLeod, 2010).

In the present study, this framework was adopted to explore the learning styles of the male and female undergraduate students studying English due to its suitability of context for adult learners. The undergraduate language students were asked to fill the Learning Style Inventory (LSI) questionnaire according to their preferred learning styles. Regarding higher education, the focus should be on the learners improving and modifying their knowledge base through connected experiences and re-learning by the use of effective feedback from their teachers (Kolb, 2013).

3. METHODOLOGY

The study exploring different learning styles of undergraduate students based on their gender employed a mixed method approach. For this purpose, a quantitative analysis was carried out for students’ learning styles with the help of a survey questionnaire while the perceptions of teachers were gauged through qualitative analysis of their interviews. Mixed method approach was used in this study in order to gather a holistic view of the learning styles of students and the extent to which the Pakistani language teachers of the
undergraduate level are aware of them. Through the survey questionnaires based on Kolb’s (1984) framework, the preferred learning styles of undergraduate students were identified. However, this alone was not adequate as the views of teachers were also crucial in knowing the complete academic picture of university level language students in Pakistan, due to which teacher interviews were also conducted.

4. DATA ANALYSIS

The data collected through the mixed-method study for exploring learning styles of male and female students were analysed through descriptive statistics. The data from questionnaires were analysed to explore learning styles of male and female students at the undergraduate level. The data was interpreted in terms of graphs to indicate the different learning style preferences of undergraduate students. Additionally, these statistics were further divided into two categories based on gender to show the preferred learning styles of male and female undergraduate students studying English.

The data acquired through interviews of the faculty was used to infer perceptions of teachers regarding their teaching strategies to take into account the individual learning demands of their male and female students. These perceptions gained through the faculty interviews were used by the researcher to relate whether the use of current strategies by these teachers is effective in terms of preferred learning styles of undergraduate students or not. These varied stages of data analysis assisted in comprehending the diverse aspects that are involved in the learning process of the undergraduate language students and how it can be used to improve the teaching process for male and female learners at the undergraduate level.

The survey was a self-administered questionnaire administered to learners studying English in their first and second semesters of undergraduate studies in a private sector university in Lahore. The results obtained from the questionnaire were formulated in the form of tables demonstrating percentages divided into four categories. The categorical division was based on Kolb’s LSI (1984) and classifies learning preferences as Concrete Experience (CE), Reflective Observation (RO), Abstract Conceptualization (AC) and Active Experimentation (AE) types. Figure 1 illustrates the overall result of the preferred learning styles of undergraduate students studying English.

Figure 1 English Language Learning Preferences of Undergraduate Students

The results in Figure 1 show the percentage of students and their preferences for specific leaning toward the four learning styles categories as classified by Kolb (1984). The results show a concentration of students in the AE category grouping with 35%, while a similar preference of 27% for the CE and AC categories was shown by
the undergraduate students. On the other hand, the results show a comparatively smaller percentage of students, leaning towards the RO category with 11%.

These results indicate that for a majority of students in the study, the inclination towards the Active Experimentation (AE) learning style was higher, while the least preferred learning approach was found to be the Reflective Observation, suggesting that the majority of undergraduate students fit into the Accommodating class and are termed as Activists, signifying learners who actively engage in their learning process instead of only focusing on theoretical knowledge provided in the class. The least preferred RO category by the undergraduate students suggests that the general lecture design lacked such procedures and activities that promoted self-reflection and critical thinking on the part of the learners when they had learned something new.

Moderate preference was shown by the students towards both CE and AC categories of learning, indicating that apart from being actively engaged in their learning process, the students also preferred to build their conceptual base by listening keenly to the information provided to them through classroom lectures. Such students fall into the Converging and Diverging class of learning styles as categorized by Kolb (1984).

Identification of Learning Styles Based on Gender

The survey questionnaire was self-administered on the undergraduate students to identify their learning style preferences. The results of the survey questionnaire when examined for gender differences, revealed that the males and females have different learning styles and, show a preference for learning in their own unique ways. Figure 2 illustrates the comparison statistics for learning style preferences corresponding to gender.

![Figure 2 Gender Based Learning Styles Comparison of Pakistani Undergraduate Student](image)

The results shown in the Figure 2 reveal that while the female learners showed a preference for the AE category, the male students, on the other hand, displayed an equal preference for both AE and AC categories, suggesting that both the male and female students possessed an inclination towards activist and kinaesthetic approach in their learning styles, in order to become active participants in their own learning processes. On the other hand, CE was identified as the second most preferred learning style category by female learners, whereas the male learners opted for AC category as their second most preferred learning style. This finding indicates that although all the learners opted for an actively engaging learning style, both male and female learners showed a tendency for the need to know and
grasp knowledge and strengthen their conceptual base. The results also show that the least preferred style by both the male and female undergraduate students was the RO category, demonstrating that the students showed a more positive tendency towards activity and experience-based learning and were less inclined to engage in learning styles based on reflective observation and thinking.

**Individual Learning Styles**

When inquired about the differences in the learning styles of students, the teachers agreed that there were distinct and unique learning styles exhibited by their students in the language classroom. Some of the teachers were of the view that different students showed partiality for specific learning style; with some favouring the kinaesthetic approach preferring to actively engage in physical activities, while a majority of the students prefer the auditory approach; listening carefully to the lectures in class. One of the teachers stated that “My students are habitual of listening to lectures and learning through the use of audio/visual aids” (T9). This remark implies that there was a general consensus by the teachers that all categories of learners showed better and improved understanding of the lectures that were delivered orally, while combined with the use of audio/visual aids, thus displaying a tendency for concrete conceptualization.

**Effect of Gender on Learning Styles**

When asked about the association of gender with learning styles of individuals, majority of the teachers reported that gender does have an effect on learning styles of the undergraduate students and that male and female students' displayed different preferences towards learning processes. Almost all the teachers agreed that the most common trait of learning exhibited by female students is note-taking; T5 stated that female students “keep taking notes during the whole lecture, all the time, sometimes without even blinking their eyes”. Other teachers also supported this claim that females in their language class do display a vigorous and keen interest in note-taking during their lectures.

On the contrary, there were two teachers who claimed that they did not witness any effect of gender on the learning styles of individuals. One among them speculated that the learning styles “varied from person to person and were not based on gender differences” (T2). Similarly, another teacher argued that “it’s not about gender differences; it’s about responsible and irresponsible students” (T3), suggesting that there were students who took their learning process seriously and were willing to put more effort into learning, while there were others that displayed negligent behaviour owing to lack of motivation and therefore, did not take much responsibility for their own learning.

In addition to this, majority of the teachers agreed that male students exhibited kinaesthetic mode of learning; they were more interested in active participation and indulging in physical activities instead of listening to the lectures being delivered through traditional modes of oral or PowerPoint presentations. While females displayed a keen interest in reading and writing new information and material presented to them, male students did not prefer to read or take notes.

These findings indicate that since male and female students tend to learn differently, so they exhibited different behavioral traits while learning under the given conditions of the classroom. It can also be implied that since the teaching style of the teachers was not tailored according to the learning style based on the gender of the students, so the students were not completely engaged in the classroom and displayed signs of boredom and laziness.
General Teaching Styles

During the interviews, the language teachers were asked to identify and explain their teaching styles that they adopt in their classroom and lectures. The findings indicate that different teachers made use of different teaching methodologies for the sound delivery of their lectures to undergraduate students. Some of the teachers believed in interactive teaching; they felt that it is an essential requirement of effective teaching, to keep their students engaged at all times, and to keep interacting with them through multiple ways. Similarly, one teacher also stated that he maintained an interactive style of teaching in his language classroom while “making jokes and being jolly to keep the students engaged and interested in the lecture” (T7). This remark is indicative of the availability of limited interactive strategies to the teachers, owing to which these teachers resort to cracking jokes and maintaining a light atmosphere in the class. The teachers reported to often indulge their students into funny discussions and brief chit chat in order to keep them attentive, while actively participating in their own learning process.

On the other hand, one of the teachers maintained that she employed the eclectic approach of teaching in her class, to “cater to all the different styles as students have different learning styles” (T4), suggesting that it is important to make use of a range of strategies and an amalgam of methodologies in the classroom to take into account the learner differences. Similarly, another teacher also reported the use of the same approach towards teaching in his classroom “by making use of a varied range of strategies and techniques; from delivery of lectures to engaging the students in interactive tasks and providing them with feedback” (T9). This suggests that the language teachers at the undergraduate level were well aware of the demands of their students as they kept using a variety of strategies and pedagogical techniques in order to take into account the learner differences in their classrooms.

In addition, many teachers reported that they made use of traditional methods of teaching in their class, i.e. by delivering lecture orally to the students and seldom making use of whiteboard or PowerPoints, thus preferring “to teach through lectures since it is the subject’s demand” (T8), suggesting that the teachers felt that some subjects were too focused on theory and had to be taught through conventional methods of teaching. Moreover, these above-mentioned teaching styles of the language teachers indicate that a diversity of teaching styles exists in the language classroom at the undergraduate level; different teachers employed different methodologies that they thought were best suited for their students’ needs.

5. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

This research aimed to investigate the distinct learning styles of Pakistani undergraduate students while exploring the learning preferences in association with their gender. The results synthesized from this study revealed that Pakistani undergraduate students display varied learning styles owing to individual preferences of learning and a diversity of the educational and social backgrounds. Moreover, most of them opted for AE learning style, which was found to be equally preferred by both the male and female learners of the undergraduate level. On the other hand, the male and female students exhibited different tendencies for the remaining three categories (AC, RO and CE) of learning styles, signifying that learning style preferences slightly varied regarding gender differences.

Additionally, another objective of the study was to gauge the perceptions of the language teachers at the undergraduate level in Pakistan regarding individual learning styles of their students. Through the teachers’ interviews, it was found that the language
teachers at the undergraduate level in Pakistan are aware of the different learning styles of their students as they pointed out varied learning preferences existed among their students. Also, the teachers reported that the male and female students demonstrated distinct styles and strategies of learning in the classroom, indicating that learning styles do vary across genders.

However, regarding their teaching styles and strategies, Pakistani teachers need to modify their current practices which are lacking in terms of clear evaluation of the preferred learning styles of their students; the language teachers do not take into account the inclination of their undergraduate students towards a more actively engaging and kinesthetic approach of learning. Although the teachers acknowledged the existence of distinct learning styles for the male and female undergraduate students, yet their teaching styles were not found to include any strategies or activities targeted specifically to address the demands of their students based on gender, highlighting the strong need of rectifying and updating their teaching styles.

The research findings were in line with the conclusions drawn by Obiefuna and Oruwari (2015), who suggested that the styles of learning vary from individual to individual since every person’s cognitive, social and educational backgrounds are different. Also, this research identified a mismatch between the current teaching practices and the preferred learning styles of the undergraduate students in Pakistan, which is consistent with Peacock’s (2001) claim that ESL learners face demotivation and slowed the pace of learning owing to an imbalanced approach of their teachers that fails to take into account the ways their learners learn best. As pointed out by Chamot (2014), to modify their practices and design specifically tailored strategies for both male and female students is the responsibility of the teachers; this research also pointed out the gap that currently exists in the pedagogy at the undergraduate level in Pakistan in terms of accounting for the unique styles of learning of the male and female students.
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